

Identification

Identification of the Member State/competent authority that submits the annual report

Country	Year	9.1. Overall conclusion on the level of compliance achieved
Finland	2023	Luonnonmukaisen tuotannon valvonta toteutui säädösten edellyttämässä laajuuc

Country	Year	Code
Finland	2023	FI-CA-1
Finland	2023	FI-CA-2
Finland	2023	FI-EKO-101
Finland	2023	FI-EKO-102
Finland	2023	FI-EKO-103
Finland	2023	FI-EKO-104
Finland	2023	FI-EKO-105
Finland	2023	FI-EKO-106
Finland	2023	FI-EKO-107
Finland	2023	FI-EKO-108
Finland	2023	FI-EKO-109
Finland	2023	FI-EKO-110
Finland	2023	FI-EKO-111
Finland	2023	FI-EKO-112
Finland	2023	FI-EKO-113
Finland	2023	FI-EKO-114
Finland	2023	FI-EKO-115
Finland	2023	FI-EKO-201
Finland	2023	FI-EKO-301
Finland	2023	FI-EKO-401
Finland	2023	TOTAL

Name

Ruokavirasto

Åland Islands

Uudenmaan elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus

Varsinais-Suomen elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus

Satakunnan elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus

Hämeen elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus

Pirkanmaan elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus

Kaakkois-Suomen elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus

Etelä-Savon elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus

Pohjois-Savon elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus

Pohjois-Karjalan elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus

Keski-Suomen elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus

Etelä-Pohjanmaan elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus

Pohjanmaan elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus

Pohjois-Pohjanmaan elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus

Kainuun elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus

Lapin elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus

Ruokavirasto

Sosiaali- ja terveysalan lupa- ja valvontavirasto Valvira (National Supervisory Authority for Welfare and Health)

Ålands landskapsregering

Number of operators	Number of verifications of compliance Article 38(3) (physical and non-physical)
0	0
0	0
372	441
446	555
171	217
250	337
471	615
241	288
194	265
271	368
275	389
151	210
422	528
376	495
399	522
62	77
39	61
1229	1342
122	52
113	113
5604	6875

Registered o

Registered operators in possession of a certifica

		Number of physi
Annual controls Article 38(3)	Additional risk based Article 38(4)(b)	
0		0
0		0
306		38
555		41
217		20
337		27
577		56
288		18
221		27
368		37
389		36
210		27
474		54
494		40
454		72
59		9
61		8
1253		126
42		0
113		13
6418		649

operators

date per 31 December of the reporting year

cal on-the-spot official controls carried out	
Total number of controls Article 38(3) & 38(4)(b)	Of which unannounced Article 38(4)(a)
0	0
0	0
344	26
596	26
237	25
364	44
633	36
306	29
248	36
405	40
425	56
237	41
528	67
534	63
526	85
68	10
69	8
1379	160
42	12
126	15
7067	779

Number of samples taken Article 38(4)(c)

Total number of samples	Number of samples with detections
334	12
0	0
17	0
23	0
8	0
11	0
21	0
12	0
11	0
15	0
15	0
8	0
16	1
18	0
20	1
4	0
2	0
42	2
10	0
6	0
593	16

Additional info

The number of operators at the end of the year was 372. the number of additional on the spot inspections 38,
The number of operators at the end of the year was 446. the number of additional on the spot inspections 41,
The number of operators at the end of the year was 171. the number of additional on the spot inspections 20,
The number of operators at the end of the year was 250 the number of additional on the spot inspections 27, '
The number of operators at the end of the year was 471. the number of additional on the spot inspections 56,
The number of operators at the end of the year was 241, the number of additional on the spot inspections 18, '

The number of un-announced inspections 40, which is 10.9 % compared to the number of verifications of corr
The number of operators at the end of the year was 275. the number of additional on the spot inspections 36,

The number of operators at the end of the year was 422. the number of samples 16, which is 3,8 %. This is 6 sa
The number of operators at the end of the year was 376. the number of additional on the spot inspections 40,

The number of certified operators at the end of the year was 1229. 584 of these were retailers which were acc
Valvira has interpreted that according to the risk evaluation in regulation 2018/848 article 38, the physical cont

Grc

Groups of operators (GoO) in possessio

Country	Year	Code and Name	Identification	Total number of Operators
---------	------	---------------	----------------	---------------------------

Group of Operators

Number of a certificate per 31 December of the reporting year

Total number of Controls	Number of re-inspections	Inspections with at least 1 sample
--------------------------	--------------------------	------------------------------------

Name or code number of competent authority/ control authority/ control body

Ruokavirasto (FI-CA-1)

Åland Islands (FI-CA-2)

Uudenmaan elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus (FI-EKO-101)

Varsinais-Suomen elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus (FI-EKO-102)

Satakunnan elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus (FI-EKO-103)

Hämeen elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus (FI-EKO-104)

Pirkanmaan elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus (FI-EKO-105)

Kaakkois-Suomen elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus (FI-EKO-106)

Etelä-Savon elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus (FI-EKO-107)

Pohjois-Savon elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus (FI-EKO-108)

Pohjois-Karjalan elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus (FI-EKO-109)

Keski-Suomen elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus (FI-EKO-110)

Etelä-Pohjanmaan elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus (FI-EKO-111)

Pohjanmaan elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus (FI-EKO-112)

Pohjois-Pohjanmaan elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus (FI-EKO-113)

Kainuun elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus (FI-EKO-114)

Lapin elinkeino-, liikenne- ja ympäristökeskus (FI-EKO-115)

Ruokavirasto (FI-EKO-201)

Sosiaali- ja terveystieteiden lupa- ja valvontavirasto Valvira (National Supervisory Authority for Welfare and Health)

Ålands landskapsregering (FI-EKO-401)

TOTAL

Cases of non-compliance

Data per competent authority/control authority/control body

		Type of c
General production rules	Specific production rules	
0		0
0		0
0		3
0		2
0		0
0		1
0		0
0		0
0		1
0		1
0		0
0		1
0		0
0		2
0		1
0		0
0		1
0		4
0		0
0		0
0		17

ody

Cases per type of non-compliances established during official controls performed

Non-authorised substances or products	Derogations	Documents and records
11	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	2
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
1	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
1	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
13	0	2

Country	Year	Number of established cases of non-compliance
Finland	2023	32

Measur

Measures taken for established case:

**Improvement of implementation of
precautionary measures and controls put in
place by operator**

4

**No reference to organic production in the
labelling and advertising of the entire lot of
production run concerned**

16

Measures Taken

Number of major and critical non-compliances

Measures taken for established cases of non-compliances		
Prohibition of placing the affected product(s) on the market with reference to organic production, for a given period	New conversion period	Limitation of the scope of the certificate
13	6	2

Suspension of the certificate	Withdrawal of the certificate	Corrective measure still to be decided	Other
0	0	0	0

Country	Year	Number at the start of the reporting year (1 January of year N)
Finland	2023	0

New control bodies to whom the competent aut

Comment

The control of organic production is not delegated to control authorities (CtrlA), nor to accredited control bodi

New control bodies

Authority has delegated control tasks/control bodies of which

New control bodies during year N (B)	Comment
--------------------------------------	---------

0 -

delegation has been withdrawn

Control bodies for which delegation has been withdrawn during year N	Comment
---	----------------

0 -

Number at the end of reporting year (31 December of year N)	Comment
---	---------

0 -

Country	Year
---------	------

Finland	2023
---------	------

Number of control bodies - Number of supervision audits during reporting year - coverage

0

Superv

Number of control bodies at end of reporting year

0

Supervision of CBs

Supervision of control bodies by the competent authority

Number of supervision audits of control bodies carried out by the competent authority during reporting year

0

Coverage of control bodies by supervision audit of competent authority

The control of organic production is not delegated to control authorities (CtrlA), nor to accredited control bodies.

Comment

es (none). The structure, functioning and quality management of the CtrlA's are based on guidelines

from Finnish Food Authority (FFA, CA) and the internal instructions of the authority. The functioning of the C

CtrlA's were reviewed and supervised through the database Elmo, which is provided by the FFA for the CtrlA'

s. This includes checking of operator files and verification of handling of non-conformities and complaints, in

including the minimum control frequency, the use of risk-based approach, unannounced and follow-up visits.7

The sampling policy is laid down by FFA in a yearly sampling plan for the CtrlA's. The sampling policy and the

exchange of information with other CtrlA's are guided and supervised through the extranet provided by FFA

. Three office visits to the CtrlA's were performed including checking the internal instructions and the perfor

mance of the CtrlA's.

Country	Year	Code	Name	Number of operators (G)	Number of GoO (H)
---------	------	------	------	-------------------------	-------------------

Audit carried out by competent authority during reporting year yes = 1/no = 0 (!)

Number of operator files reviewed in supervision audit during reporting year (J)

Details of Supervision

Detail of supervision per control body

Coverage of number of operator files reviewed versus total number of operators $(K) = (J)/(G)$

Number of GoO files reviewed in the course of the audit during reporting year (L)

Coverage of number of GoO files reviewed versus total number of GoO (M) = (L)/(H)

Indicate reference number of individual form completed for the control body (template "individual form for control body" attached) (N)

Country	Year
---------	------

Finland	2023
---------	------

Number of control authorities to whom the competent authority has delegated control tasks

0

Audit Activiti

Audit activities carried out by the competent authority on control authorities (only relev

Number of audits carried out on control authorities by the competent authority

3

ties

ant if the competent authority delegates control tasks to control authorities)

Coverage of control authorities audited by competent authority during reporting year

Comment

The competence of the authorities is laid down in and based on national law. The Finnish Food Authority (FFA)

supervises the performance of authorities involved in the control of organic production but cannot take acti

ons on the jurisdiction of other authorities. The structure, functioning and quality management of the contrac

ol authorities (CtrlA) are based on guidelines from FFA (CA) and the internal instructions of the authority. The

: functioning of the CtrlA's were reviewed and supervised through the database Elmo, which is provided by t

he FFA for the CtrlA's. This includes checking of operator files and verification of handling of non-conformitie

es and complaints, including the minimum control frequency, the use of risk-based approach, unannounced a

and follow-up visits. OCR art 6 does not obligate CA to carry out audit visits to CtrlA every year but to supervi

se the performance. This is done continuously as CtrlA's all use the same database, where all control activities

es are archived.

Country	Year	Code	Name	Form reference number	Supervision audit report reference
---------	------	------	------	-----------------------	------------------------------------

Competent Authority in charge of supervision

Date

1. Conditions for approval of the control body and delegation of control tasks

Individual form

Individual form on supervision of control body

2. Findings related to compliance with minimum control requirements

3. Findings related to risk assessment of operators

4. Findings related to compliance with national catalogue of measures

5. Findings related to exchange of information with competent authority

6. Other findings

7. Overall conclusion

Country	Year
---------	------

Finland	2023
---------	------

1. National legislation

- New legislation for organic production in Åland:<https://www.regeringen.ax/sites/default/files/attachments/l>

2. New, updated or revised control procedures

- Guidelines for the operators, Dnro 819/04.02.00.01/2022. Contains 11 pieces/parts of guidelines - relevant u

Actions and measures

performed by competent authority during the reporting year to ensure effectiveness of official controls by

3. Training activities

- The training was organized following the Finnish Food Authority's (FFA) yearly plan on trainings. 16 days of tra

control authorities/control bodies

4. Provision of additional resources or reallocation of existing resources following review of priorities

none

5. Special control initiative

none

6. Changes to the organisation or management of competent authorities

7. Other

none

The control of

organic production is not delegated to control authorities, nor to accredited control bodies. The general obl

igations concerning the competent authorities and the organic control authorities (OCR art 5) are met for all

control authorities. The procedures in force are guided and supervised by the Finnish Food Authority. The n

eeded legal power (OCR art 6) is laid down in national Administrative Procedure Act and Act on Organic Prod

luction (1330/2021).To ensure the compliance with OCR, the national Act on Organic Production, EU 2018/8

48 and subsequent other EU -legislation, the Finnish Food Authority carries out audits to the control authori

ties under its supervision. These are not carried out to every CtrlA every year, but according to the audit inst

ruction for organic control every 3- 5 years. To our understanding the OCR art 6 does not obligate to carry ou

it audit visits to CtrlA every year but to supervise the performance. The performance of the control authority

γ is supervised continuously as